UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/560,904	03/06/2006	Ivan Bonzi	PTB-4017-124	2362
23117 NIXON & VAN	7590 11/18/201 NDERHYE, PC	EXAMINER		
901 NORTH G	LEBE ROAD, 11TH F	CADUGAN, ERICA E		
ARLINGTON, VA 22203			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3726	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/18/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/560,904	BONZI, IVAN
Examiner initiated interview duminary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Erica E. Cadugan	3726
All Participants:	Status of Application:	_
(1) <u>Erica E. Cadugan</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Paul Bowen</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 9 November 2010	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applic  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: .	cant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: n/a		
Claims discussed: n/a		
Prior art documents discussed: n/a		
Part II.  SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE See Continuation Sheet	ERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	S DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summa</li> </ul>	ne examiner will provide a written record of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
/Erica E Cadugan/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3726	Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: On November 9, 2010, Examiner contacted Mr. Paul Bowen to request that he submit (via fax to the examiner) a courtesy copy of the non-patent literature document in the form of the article by Degarmo et al., which was cited in the IDS of December 16, 2005 and on the search report in the PCT of which the present application is the national stage. Examiner noted that the Degarmo article had not been received by the U.S.P.T.O. from the IB. On November 10, 2010, Applicant's representative faxed the Examiner a courtesy copy of the Degarmo reference.